

2012 Allocation Process

Quick Facts about the 2012 Allocation Process

96 volunteers on 12 Impact Teams donated over 1,500 hours.

Reviewed 101 programs at 55 different agencies.

Recommended funding for 97 programs at 50 different agencies.

Allocation Process

Impact Teams were challenged to work with the same amount of dollars allocated from the previous year.

Team members individually read and analyzed each application.

Teams completed a detailed program and budget review, on-site at the agency.

Teams developed funding recommendations for Team Chairs to review.

Team Chairs reviewed and determined funding recommendations for final Board approval.

Funding Rationale used by Teams

Funding Decreased	Funding Held Flat	Funding Increased
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of Community Need • Not showing impact or outcomes • Have at least 5-6 months of reserves to cover operating costs • Projecting a profit • No action taken by agency on UW recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a community need • Showing impact or outcomes • Have around 4 months of reserves to cover operating costs • No action taken by agency on UW recommendations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is an increasing community need • Expanding program to provide more services • Showing impact or outcomes • Have less than 4 months of reserves to cover operating costs